

# Testimony to the National Committee on Vital & Health Statistics Subcommittee on Standards

Don Detmer, MD, MA,  
President & CEO, AMIA;  
Professor of Medical Education, UVA  
24 February 2009



## Expressed goal of this hearing: Improve Processes for Standards

- What are major recent HIT standards development, selection, &/or implementation achievements?  
Describe enabling processes.
- What HIT standards, development, selection, &/or implementation challenges remain?
- What should model be for development, adoption, & implementation of HIT standards for nation as a whole?
- Who are the players & who should be involved?







# 1. What are major recent HIT standards development, selection, &/or implementation achievements?

- HITSP implementation specifications
- Structured product labels for approved drugs - disseminated via DailyMed, linked to RxNorm & other knowledge sources, e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov
- Promotion of International adoption of standard terminology: Formation of International Health Terminology Standards Development Organisation (IHTSDO) plus more coordination among ISO, CEN, HL7, IHTSDO, & CDISC
- Proactive expansion of LOINC to include genetic tests & newborn screening tests
- New version of Surgeon General's Family Health History tool, with standards, e.g., SNOMED CT, HL7, built in
- AHIC Working Group on Personalized health care standards matrix [tests (LOINC) that detect conditions (SNOMED CT)] for newborn screening.
- Important international standards published by ISO on the EHR and on privacy and security

## 1b. Describe HIT Standards Processes

### Then - Bottom up

- + Well vetted
- + Experts committed
- Too many standards
- Slow to achieve global harmonization
- \$s & Site(s) for Maintenance & Access
- Limited vision
- + Devil you know
- Idealism over pragmatism

### Emerging - Top down

- + Actual standards can be set
- + Potential for globalization
- + Potential for Stable Funding & Maintenance/Access
- Needed standards don't get considered or move forward for political reasons (wrong cooks stirring the pot?)
- +/- Sufficient vetting
- Unintended consequences
- Idealism over pragmatism

## 2. What HIT standards, development, selection, &/or implementation challenges remain?\*

- Decision Support
- Personalized Care
- Population Health Support
- Semantic interoperability, tying SNOMED CT to record structures
- Clinical knowledge models that reflect clinical best practice
  
- Selection challenge
  - Device terminology & identifiers

\* But wait, there's more coming later.

3. What should the model be for development, adoption, & implementation of **HIT** standards for nation as a whole?

Process Model: Label data at source -

- LOINC on test kits
- Outputs from test devices labeled with LOINC
- RxNorm available with drug is approved & SPL is released

Rephrased 3. What Model is needed for development, adoption, & implementation of HIT & HCT standards for the nation as a whole?

NCVHS needs to develop an Outcomes Model that uses complementary HIT & HCT (*Health Communications Technology*) Standards if we are to reach desired societal values

- Health information & health communications are not the same. We need both.



Standards are explicit representations that reflect our view of the world &, hence, what we choose to recognize & value.

Values: Moral Attitudes & Habits

Standards inevitably lead our thinking toward certain measures.

# The Link between Standards & Values

The Surgeon: *What possible difference does it make what my standards are?*

Elephant Man: *Because it is your standards we live by.*

*- Paraphrased from "The Elephant Man"*

# An NCVHS Outcome Model for Standards Development is needed.

- And, a key consideration is currently ignored.

We focus far too disproportionately on standards for HIT (Information).

We ignore far too much needed standards for HCT (Communications).

# A difference is a difference if it makes a difference.

- We need standards for both HIT & HCT.  
Ex: Advanced Directives for End-of-Life care.

HIT End-of-Life Standards describe templates to accurately express information regarding personal choices.

HCT End-of-Life Standards assure: 1) currency of directives & 2) that current directives are actually delivered to relevant caregivers in a timely manner.

*Like difference b/n quality measurement v. quality improvement.*

Otherwise,

That which is measured drives out that which  
is important.

- Rene Dubos



# What vision does America seek to achieve for its people?

*What road will we follow?*

- **Healthy people living in an altruistic society**
  - Social Determinates of Health ~50% of health status
    - Meaningful employment
    - Shelter
    - Education
    - Safe environment
  - Healthcare that is equitable, efficient, effective, patient & population-centered, timely, & safe







# What are we achieving today?

## Neither greater Health nor greater Altruism

- Rising unemployment
- Rising loss of homes
- Rising loss of insurance
- Rising numbers of poor children
- Rising health care costs with rising poor system performance in international comparisons
- Rising imbalance among key social goods
- Stock market yesterday at level when I chaired NCVHS over a decade ago.





What is required for America  
to achieve these values for its people?

*Standards* that create a better balance among  
competing social goods

- Altruism
- Freedom
- Healthy Individuals
- Healthy Communities
- Personal privacy
- Personal autonomy
- Useful Knowledge



# How might America achieve this?

## New Model NCVHS-approved HIT & HCT Standards

- Value-driven Care deserves Value-drive Standards
  - As defined by the patient, e.g., FREE access to personal & altruistic options
  - As defined by the health professional based upon relevant evolving knowledge (NRC Computational Technology for Effective Health Care - 2009)
  - As defined by equitable, safe, efficient, timely, patient-centered, & effective standards (IOM Chasm - 2000)
- HIT & HCT Standards compatible with care that is:
  - Evidence-based
  - Delivered by a team
  - Patient-centered
  - Continuously improving quality
  - Utilizes Informatics (IOM Education Summit - 2003)



What is required for America  
to achieve these values for its people?

NCVHS needs a Model to derive relevant HIT &  
HCT Standards to enhance Equity, Patient-  
centeredness, Timeliness to match growing  
focus on Effectiveness, Efficiency, & Safety

Ex., Underinsurance & Lack of Insurance

# A New Model is Needed

Today there is scant support for Americans to  
choose b/n Altruistic v. Self(ish) Goals



## Today HIPAA limits access to Useful Knowledge, e.g. legitimate Biomedical & Health Research\*:

Examples:

- 1) Today, no simple consent generic procedure to allow citizens to share personal health data for legitimate scientific research
- 2) Increasingly expensive unfunded mandates keep being enacted without clear health benefits & that are likely to further hurt biomedical & health research supported through public funds  
Ex. New regulations on de-identified data & what will fall under minimum necessary data
- 3) Few standards explicitly that support solely community benefit

\*AAMC (earlier), AAHC (2009), IOM (2009) Reports

## 2. What HICT standards, development, selection, &/or implementation challenges remain?

- Decision Support
- Personalized Care
- Population Health Support
- Semantic interoperability, tying SNOMED CT to record structures
- Clinical knowledge models that reflect clinical best practice
- Selection challenges
  - For each of the above

### 3. What should the model be for the development, adoption, and implementation of HIT & HCT standards for the nation as a whole?

The model should be an OUTCOME MODEL that reflects important

NAS/IOM/NRC/NAE/AMIA/AAHC/AAMC/International Groups with other key reports relating to Quality, Safety, Public Health, Privacy, Health Information & Communication Technology and its Use; Computer-based Health Records, Rural Health, & Research

Then, the processes for developing relevant HIT & HCT standards may better reflect core American values & creating global markets for products that adopt them.



## Question for NCVHS

Do you need a new working group aka the NHII Working Group to “take on” this new robust HICT Standards model?

4. Who are the players & who should be involved?

Whoever is hungry plus whoever else shows up.



# The AMIA 2009 Board of Directors\*

David W. Bates, Chairman

Dominik Aronsky

Eta S. Berner

Atul J. Butte

Helen Burstin

Connie J. Delaney

Don E. Detmer

Paul N. Gorman

Sarah Ingersoll

Kevin B. Johnson

Rita Kukafka

Gilad Kuperman

Christoph Lehmann

Nancy Lorenzi, Chair Elect

Blackford Middleton

Judy Murphy

Bonnie Westra

\* the AMIA staff, & AMIAs nearly 4000 other informaticians stand ready to help you.



Thank you again for the invitation.

My presentation will be posted at  
<http://www.amia.org>

**American Medical Informatics Association**

4915 St. Elmo Avenue Suite 401

Bethesda, Maryland 20814

301 657-1291

[www.amia.org](http://www.amia.org)

[detmer@amia.org](mailto:detmer@amia.org)





